

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.). FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/717,456	11/21/2003		Susumu Fujioka	244641US2CONT	8050		
22850	7590	04/19/2006		EXAMINER			
OBLON, SI 1940 DUKE	•	CCLELLAND, N	FATAHI YAR, MAHMOUD				
ALEXANDR		2314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•			2629			

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	cation No.	Ap	oplicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/717,456 FUJIOKA, SUSU						
	Office Action Summary	Exami	iner	Ar	t Unit ,				
			atahiyar		29				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on	the cover sheet	with the corre	espondence a	address	1		
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failur Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANSIONS OF THE MANSIO	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n unication. tutory period will apply a vill, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUI to event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Me application to become	NICATION. To a reply be timely find the management of the managem	iled nailing date of this 5 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)[汉]	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>11 July 2005</i>	5				:		
2a)[•								
3)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
- ,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
		•	•						
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the app								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar		; (
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				•				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.	:							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	on requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 21 November	<u>2003</u> is/are: a)∑	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected t	to by the Exa	aminer.	•		
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing	(s) be held in abey	yance. See 37	CFR 1.85(a).		:		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is re	quired if the drawi	ing(s) is objecte	ed to. See 37	CFR 1.121	1(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner	. Note the attach	ned Office Ac	tion or form I	PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C	: 8 119(a)-(d) or (f):				
,		or for origin priority	4,,40, 00 0.0.0	3 · · · · · (<u>a</u>) (a)	, 5. (.,.		•		
-,	1. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have	been received.						
	2.⊠ Certified copies of the priority of			n Application I	No. <u>09/698,0</u>	<u>)31</u> .			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of								
	application from the Internation				•				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the o	ertified copies n	ot received.					
Attachmen	nt(s)								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)			w Summary (PT					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P			No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Pater		TO-152\			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or f er No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/21/03</u> .	-10/2B/08)	6) Other: _		и Аррисацоп (г	10-102)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/717,456
Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

1. In compliance to 37 CFR 1.98, applicant is requested to provide copies of the Japanese references cited on the submitted form PTO-1449 and also provide the pertinence of each reference with respect to the claimed inventions of the present application.

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 11, the recited language "determining whether to input predetermined information" is vague and indefinite and incomplete because it is note clear based on what criteria the predetermined information is inputted. Further, it is unclear what constitute the "predetermined information". In other words, it is not clear to what it refers to. Furthermore, the relationship between the noted recitation and the rest of the recited elements in the claim is unclear.

In claim 3, line 9, the recited language "a controller configured to determine whether to input predetermined information" is vague, indefinite and incomplete for the reasons stated above with respect to claim 1.

In claim 4, line 2, the recitation "at least two corners in opposite corners" is vague and indefinite because the first occurrence of "corners" should be - - cameras - -.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,456

Art Unit: 2629

In claim 5, line 11, the recited language "means for determining whether to input predetermined information" is also vague, indefinite and incomplete for the same reasons stated above with respect to claim 1.

In claim 7, line 8, the recitation "a controller configured to determine whether to input predetermined information" is also vague, indefinite and incomplete for the same reasons stated above with respect to claim 1. Correction and/or clarification is required.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lura et al(5,617,312) in view of Ogawa(6,100,538).

lura et al disclose a method and an apparatus for inputting information including coordinate data comprising at least one camera(100) mounted on top of a display device(202), an object extracting device(106) for extracting a predetermined object and recognizing the shape of the predetermined object(column 3, lines 59-67), a motion detector device(107) for detecting a motion of the predetermined object(column 4, lines 32-65) and for determining whether to input a predetermined information(column 5, lines 1-9). lura et al substantially show all the feature of the above claims except for the "at least one camera located at a corner of the display". However, Ogawa is cited to show that the concept of utilizing of utilizing at least one image camera(3L and 3R) each

Application/Control Number: 10/717,456 Page 4

Art Unit: 2629

located at a corner of a display panel(6) is old(see figure 1). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of lura et al with the above noted teaching of Ogawa such that to provide at least one image camera located at each corner of the display panel(202) because both references are related to input devices utilizing cameras for determining a location of an input.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by lura et al(5,617,312).
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Oohara et al, Smith et al, Kumar et al, Freeman et al, Dunton et al, Sigel and Dunthorn are made of record to show various types of input devices utilizing cameras for determining input information.
- 1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Fatahiyar whose telephone number is (571)272-7688. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

Art Unit: 2629

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

M. Fatahiyar

April 16, 2006